

APPENDIX FOR SATNAC REVIEWERS FORM

Paper Assessment

Please use the scoring system below to evaluate the paper in the following categories.

	Paper Assessment Criteria	Score
1	Originality of paper. (In your opinion, the likelihood of any aspects of this paper making useful contributions to an international journal paper is.....)	
2	Technical quality of paper. (In your opinion, the degree to which the material presented has been approached in a solid, thorough, research like manner, backed up with quantitative analysis and conclusions is....)	
3	References. (The level to which the author has provided references that are relevant to the topic, contemporary and cover most of the significant contributions made, by others to this field of study is.....)	
4	Presentation style. (The presentation style, including logical information flow, paper structure, readability and correct use of English is.....)	
5	Interest to SATNAC (The extent to which the paper will be of interest to SATNAC community is.....)	
6	Programme topic. (The degree to which this paper fits within the programme topic to which it has been allocated is.....)	

Score	Paper Assessment Score Text
0	Unable to judge
1	Poor
2	Below Average
3	Average
4	Good
5	Very Good

Reviewer Rating

Please use the table below to give an overall rating on the paper.

Reviewer Overall Opinion Please give a score to the paper based on the paper rating scheme given below.	
---	--

Paper Rating Score	Paper Rating Score Description
1	Reject (This paper should not be presented at this conference irrespective of other reviewers' opinions. There are technical and/or presentation issues that make this paper unacceptable.)
2	Weak Reject (If it were solely up to you, you would reject this paper but would not object to this paper being accepted if other reviewers are of the opinion that it holds some merit)
3	Weak Accept (If it were solely up to you, you would accept this paper but you would not object to this paper being rejected if other reviewers are of the opinion that there is no merit in accepting it for presentation at this conference.)
4	Accept (This paper should be presented at this conference irrespective of other reviewers' opinions. It is a sound piece of work that is well presented and should be well received at this conference.)